

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

ALLEN BURT DICKENSON,

Defendant and Appellant.

D054788

(Super. Ct. No. SCD188869)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, David M. Szumowski, Judge. Affirmed.

In 2005 Allen Burt Dickenson entered a negotiated guilty plea to possession of a firearm by a felon (Pen. Code, § 12021, subd. (a)(1)). The plea bargain called for a low term lid of 16 months. The trial court suspended sentence and granted Dickenson probation for three years, conditioned on, among other things, he serve 365 days in jail.

In 2007 Dickenson's probation was revoked for failure to pay fines and failure to report to his probation officer. Dickenson admitted violating probation by not reporting

to his probation officer. The court formally revoked Dickenson's probation and then reinstated probation under the same terms and conditions until February 8, 2010. The court committed Dickenson to jail for 270 days and gave him credit for serving 216 actual days. Dickenson waived past, present and future Penal Code section 4019 credits.

FACTS

Dickenson, a convicted felon, admitted he unlawfully possessed a Ruger .45 caliber pistol.

Dickenson admitted he violated his probation by failing to notify the probation department of his whereabouts.

DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief summarizing the facts and proceedings below. Counsel presents no argument for reversal, but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, counsel refers to as a possible but not arguable issue: whether Dickenson's guilty plea was constitutionally valid.

We granted Dickenson permission to file a brief on his own behalf. He has not responded.

A review of the record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, and *Anders v. California, supra*, 386 U.S. 738, including the possible issue referred to by appellate counsel, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issues. Dickenson has been adequately represented by counsel on this appeal.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

McCONNELL, P. J.

WE CONCUR:

BENKE, J.

McINTYRE, J.